

## 托福独立写作：六篇高分范文推荐

写作题目：

**Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? People spend too much money on their pets even though there can be other good ways to spend money. Give details and examples to support your response.** 人们花了太多的钱在宠物身上，而实际上有其他更好的消费方式，用细节和例子支撑你的观点。

写作范文

With the rise of the middle class, more and more people spend money on things that are not essential to their daily needs. As the average wealth of households has increased, many trends have emerged. One trend that has appeared recently in my neighborhood is the increase in the amount of money people spend on their pets, and for me this is definitely the truth.

The reason why people spend too much money on their pets is because they believe their pets to be more than just animals. They assign to them many human characteristics. This does not mean that I do not like pets. I love pets. My father is a biologist and growing up our house was always filled with lots of strange and unique pets. Pets play a very important role in families and I think we should take care of animals. I love volunteering for the local animal shelter and helping find homes for stray pets. I like taking care of animals and I will be the first to help an animal in need. I do not, however, believe that we should treat pets like humans.

My neighbors, on the other hand, have a different opinion. They love their dogs, but I think they are a rather extreme when it comes to taking care of their dogs. For example, their dogs have their own feather beds that cost 300 dollars each. I think that's how much all of the furniture in my bedroom costs! My neighbors claim that their dogs have back problems and that the dogs need these beds. I am not sure the dogs realize this, because last time I visited my neighbors I saw that the dogs had ripped a bunch of holes in these beds. Maybe humans think these dog beds are more comfortable, but I highly doubt that dogs know the difference between a several hundred dollar mattress and a ten dollar mattress. Buying these kinds of luxury items for pets is very silly.

Spending exorbitant amounts of money on one's pet is not only pointless, but it's also wasteful. It's pointless because pets can't determine the quality of an object. It's wasteful, because there are so many better things on which to spend

one's money. Take for example the animal shelter. Rather than spending 300 dollars on a fancy mattress, my neighbors could have donated that money to the animal shelter. The animal shelter helps find pets homes and helps control the local animal population. Before the animal shelter was set up, my town was overrun with feral cats and stray dogs. Now, thanks to the shelter, those animals have been taken off the streets. If my neighbors loved animals so much, they would try and help as many as they could instead of wasting all of their money on their dogs.

I believe people today spend too much money on their pets. I love animals, but I believe there are better ways to spend one's money. Spending a lot of money on pets is extravagant and senseless.

写作题目:

**Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: People will spend less time on cooking food in twenty years.** 人们在 20 年之内，会减少在烹饪食物上的时间，是否认同？

写作范文

Food is typically one of the most important parts of a person's day. "When, what, and where will I eat?" are questions people all over the world ask themselves every day. In twenty years, these questions will remain, but people will not spend less time cooking their own food for several reasons.

To begin, food is obviously important to people. People enjoy food that tastes good to them and relish a home-cooked meal. As technology advances and tasks at work are completed more efficiently, people find they have more leisure time. During the extra leisure time, families might begin to cook meals together as an activity to connect with one another. Preparing home-cooked meals is a timeless activity that families participate in and enjoy. For example, families gather for large home-cooked meals on Thanksgiving and Christmas in the United States. These family gatherings are a way for families to reconnect and catch up over a meal.

Secondly, it is economical for someone to cook their own meal. Instead of going out to lunch to buy food, people will continue to pack their lunches and eat leftovers. Packing lunches and finishing left overs is not only a great way to save money, but will reduce excess and unnecessary environmental waste, especially if the person is using reusable containers to carry his food. Cooking your own food will not only be

important in twenty years; it's important now! With populations skyrocketing all around the world, it has never been more important to reduce unnecessary environmental waste to sustain our world for future generations.

Finally, as rates of obesity continue to rise, fast food and eating out is beginning to get a 'bad rap.' Health is a high priority. When someone cooks themselves a meal, he is completely aware of what is being added, as well as the hygiene of the food because he purchased it. In other words, he is familiar with the food before he eats it. Being conscious of what you are consuming is extremely important, especially in order to stay healthy. Cooking your own food is the best way to maintain your weight and this will certainly not go out of style in twenty years.

In twenty years, people will continue to cook their own food. Cooking your own food allows you to spend time with family doing something meaningful for everyone involved, it helps maintain optimal health, and it is economical in many aspects.

### 写作题目

**Some people think that it is an important part of a child's education to go on a field trip (for example, museums), other people think a child's time is better spent learning in a classroom at school. Which do you prefer?** 有人认为实地考察对孩子的教育很重要，例如去博物馆，而另一些人认为孩子最好在教室里学习，你更认同哪种观点？

### 写作范文

I would never suggest that students do their learning entirely through field trips, but I think field trips are an indispensable part of learning.

First of all, field trips can make children more enthusiastic about learning. Few children look forward to sitting in a classroom all day listening to lectures, regardless of how interesting the material might be. Field trips do two things to make learning more palatable to kids: they provide a break from the monotony of class, and they give children an opportunity to absorb information in more direct and interesting ways. Trips to science museums are a good example. Instead of reading about planets, kids can see planets projected in vivid color in a planetarium. Instead of reading about sound waves, they can see how sound travels through a series of tubes.

Second of all, classroom learning does not prepare people for the real world. Someone who does well studying a subject in class doesn't necessarily have the ability to solve real-world problems. Take my aunt who attended business school, for example. Even though she spent three years studying the ins and outs of business theory, she was completely overwhelmed when it came time to start her own business. In the end, she turned to a friend with real-world experience for help. This person never went to business school, but because they had been through the trials of running a start-up several times, they could give her practical advice. Field trips bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world experience, so they can definitely add something to a child's education.

Granted, some types of information are better absorbed inside a classroom. Mathematics, for example, doesn't benefit so much from out-of-classroom learning. However, this does not mean that field trips are not important for those studying subjects like mathematics. In these cases, it may be especially important to take field trips so that students can connect their theoretical knowledge to real things. Learning about fractals, for instance, students can take a trip to see some fractals in nature, like those found in certain plants and animals. Learning about Fibonacci numbers, students can go to the beach to collect shells that follow the sequence to form logarithmic spirals.

Field trips should never compose the bulk of schooling. However, they can supplement classroom learning by making education fun, impactful, and relevant. Because of this, they are still an essential part of any child's education.

写作题目:

**Do you agree or disagree with the following statement. Movies and television have more negative effects than positive effects on the way young people behave.**  
电影和电视对年轻人带来的消极影响大于积极影响，是否认同？

写作范文

Movies and television are often accused of affecting young people adversely. Thus some people conclude that the negative effects of entertainment media far outweigh the benefits. I disagree.

Admittedly, entertainment media has had certain negative effects on children. Increasing scenes of violence and pornography have caused imitation among

teenagers. Since teenagers are particularly impressionable at that age, anything they are exposed to could seriously impacts their perception of reality. According to a recent survey conducted by the Chinese Adolescent Delinquency Association, after polling hundreds of young criminals aged 12 to18, most respondents claimed that they copied violent behavior learned from television shows or movies. Thus, to some extent, TV and movies are responsible for the problematic behavior of some teenagers.

However, television and movies also benefit children. For one thing, educational TV programs and documentaries are instrumental in teaching children in an interesting way. Unlike textbooks and ordinary class activities, movies provide can teach children about subjects in different and more interactive ways. Take my sister for example. She is majoring in zoology. According to her, watching the Discovery Channel as a little girl first made her interested in nature and animals. The Discovery Channel's vivid pictures and lively footage helped her gain more knowledge about a variety of rare species and learn to appreciate the beauty of wildlife. Without the aid of television, the tedious description from textbooks would have hardly aroused any interest in my sister when she was young.

In addition, movies and television shows provide young people with a temporary escape from their stressful lives, full of studying. Entertainment movies can inspire creative thinking in children and teach them important values in an interesting way. By seeing flying cars in the Matrix, children can think more about future innovations in technology. Seeing martial arts in Kung Fu Panda has even made children more interested in the traditional art and history of China. From the Harry Potter series, children learn the importance of courage and friendship.

All in all, despite a few isolated cases of negative influence, I believe that the overall impact of television and movies is positive. (352 words)

写作题目:

**Do you agree or disagree with the following statement. Movies and television have more negative effects than positive effects on the way young people behave.**  
电影和电视对年轻人带来的消极影响大于积极影响，是否认同？

写作范文

Movies and television are often accused of affecting young people adversely.

Thus some people conclude that the negative effects of entertainment media far outweigh the benefits. I disagree.

Admittedly, entertainment media has had certain negative effects on children. Increasing scenes of violence and pornography have caused imitation among teenagers. Since teenagers are particularly impressionable at that age, anything they are exposed to could seriously impact their perception of reality. According to a recent survey conducted by the Chinese Adolescent Delinquency Association, after polling hundreds of young criminals aged 12 to 18, most respondents claimed that they copied violent behavior learned from television shows or movies. Thus, to some extent, TV and movies are responsible for the problematic behavior of some teenagers.

However, television and movies also benefit children. For one thing, educational TV programs and documentaries are instrumental in teaching children in an interesting way. Unlike textbooks and ordinary class activities, movies provide can teach children about subjects in different and more interactive ways. Take my sister for example. She is majoring in zoology. According to her, watching the Discovery Channel as a little girl first made her interested in nature and animals. The Discovery Channel's vivid pictures and lively footage helped her gain more knowledge about a variety of rare species and learn to appreciate the beauty of wildlife. Without the aid of television, the tedious description from textbooks would have hardly aroused any interest in my sister when she was young.

In addition, movies and television shows provide young people with a temporary escape from their stressful lives, full of studying. Entertainment movies can inspire creative thinking in children and teach them important values in an interesting way. By seeing flying cars in the Matrix, children can think more about future innovations in technology. Seeing martial arts in Kung Fu Panda has even made children more interested in the traditional art and history of China. From the Harry Potter series, children learn the importance of courage and friendship.

All in all, despite a few isolated cases of negative influence, I believe that the overall impact of television and movies is positive. (352 words)

写作题目：

**It is important for government to provide money for things that are beautiful not just for things that are practical.** 相比于务实的事情，政府为美丽的事物投资也很重要。

## 写作范文

Government's core function is to provide for health, safety, education and defense of its citizens. It may be tempting to argue that by focusing on things pleasing to behold, a government can harvest more support. I, however, believe this could be a bad idea, and eye-catcher projects can be no substitute for ones with practical purposes.

Consider real estate. The recent decades has witnesses a boom in majestic mansions and skyscrapers on a global scale. While they are all pleasing to our eyes, quite a few boasts fashionably hallow designs taking up much space yet serving few practical purposes. Even so, governments still play along, funding projects and enforcing policies in the hop of a sound public image. However, all this could be in vain we the housing reality of the working class has become so harsh that few others would step up to fill the role. If the government could subsidize affordable housing, things would be a lot better for struggling college graduates. Studies have shown a majority persons have to postpone their wedding plans as the results of inability to buy a house. Affordable housing does not necessarily make a good headline, but government is doing the right thing of the benefits of the public from a practical sense.

Also, Consider the public transit. Maglev train can be regarded as a remarkable milestone in transportation history. The sleek design and fast speed gives this invention aura of fame and the government aura of flourish. Can we thus assume the administration is a successful one? The answer is negative. This technological advancement is rather costly and energy inefficient. At the expense of huge financial and human resources, the government manages to present the world with an eye-catcher yet fails to satisfy the needs of the majority. Poorly maintained bus lines and overly crowed subways are the reality for the public. It surely falls under the rubrics of government responsibility to upgrade the current public transit. Such practical pan does not always gather much spotlight but if we take a long view, government is making a contribution commuters can benefits from on an every-day basis.

To sum up, nice-looking projects tend to be a huge drain on government's resources. Things that seem to be practical, on the other hand, deserve more attention.